

Lüders Theorem for Coherent-State POVMs

STEFAN WEIGERT and PAUL BUSCH

$\langle \text{Hu}|\text{MP} \rangle$ - Department of Mathematics, University of Hull
 UK-Hull HU6 7RX, United Kingdom
 S.Weigert@hull.ac.uk, P.Busch@hull.ac.uk
 August 2003

Abstract: *Lüders'* theorem states that two observables commute if measuring one of them does not disturb the measurement outcomes of the other. We study measurements which are described by continuous positive operator-valued measurements (or POVMs) associated with coherent states on a Lie group. In general, operators turn out to be invariant under the *Lüders* map if their P - and Q -symbols coincide. For a spin corresponding to $SU(2)$, the identity is shown to be the only operator with this property. For a particle, a countable family of linearly independent operators is identified which are invariant under the *Lüders* map generated by the coherent states of the Heisenberg-Weyl group, H_3 . The *Lüders* map is also shown to implement the anti-normal ordering of creation and annihilation operators of a particle.

1. Introduction

In this paper we determine operators B which are invariant under a generalized *Lüders* map

$$B \mapsto \Lambda(B) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) E(\Omega) B E(\Omega), \quad (1)$$

where the set $E(\Omega)$ is a family of projection operators labelled by the points Ω of a manifold $\mathbb{X}$. These operators constitute a continuous positive operator-valued measure, or POVM, with a resolution of unity:

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) E(\Omega) = I. \quad (2)$$

Any operator B , bounded or not, will be called *Lüders* if it is invariant under *Lüders'* map,

$$\Lambda(B) = B. \quad (3)$$

The operator B acts on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and the operator $E(\Omega)$ is a member of a (over-) complete family of projectors on coherent states $|\Omega\rangle$ associated with an irreducible, unitary representation of a Lie group G in the space $\mathcal{H}$.

This setting generalizes the traditional approach to minimally disturbing (or *ideal*) *Lüders* measurements. Given a self-adjoint operator with spectral decomposition $A = \sum_i^N a_i E_i$, $N \leq \infty$, the projectors E_i are complete and orthogonal,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N E_i = I, \quad E_i E_j = E_i \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N \leq \infty. \quad (4)$$

If a non-selective, ideal measurement of A is performed on a quantum system with density operator ρ , its state undergoes a *Lüders* transformation:

$$\rho \mapsto \Lambda(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^N E_i \rho E_i, \quad (5)$$

which extends to a linear, completely positive map. If, for some operator B , one has

$$\text{Tr} [\rho B] = \text{Tr} [\Lambda(\rho) B], \quad \text{for all } \rho, \quad (6)$$

then the *Lüders* measurement of A does not disturb the measurement of B . In other words, the expectation value of B with respect to *any* density operator ρ is not affected by measuring A . Introduce the *dual Lüders* map Λ^D , acting on operators defined on $\mathcal{H}$, by

$$\text{Tr} [\Lambda(\rho) B] = \text{Tr} [\rho \Lambda^D(B)]. \quad (7)$$

Since Eq. (6) is supposed to hold for any ρ , one must have

$$\Lambda^D(B) = B, \quad (8)$$

which, after dropping the superscript, is the discrete counterpart of Eq. (3). Now we can state *Lüders'* theorem:

$$\Lambda(B) = B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [B, E_i] = 0, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (9)$$

i.e., it is necessary and sufficient for $A = \sum_i^N a_i E_i$ to commute with a (bounded) operator B if the measurement of A should not disturb any measurement of B .

Originally, this theorem has been shown to hold for orthonormal projections [1]; after a generalization to some discrete POVMs had been obtained [2], the theorem was expected to hold under very general conditions. However, the existence of a non-intuitive counterexample has been proved non-constructively in [3]. It is our purpose to extend the validity of *Lüders'* theorem to *continuous* POVMs which are associated with coherent states on Lie groups.

Outline and Summary

In the following, we will consider POVMs which consist of continuous families of one-dimensional projections onto coherent states, or CS-POVMs, for short. The CS-POVMs for a spin and for a particle provide well-known examples, being associated with the group $SU(2)$ and the Heisenberg-Weyl group H_3 , respectively. However, coherent states can be defined for general Lie groups G while retaining many of their properties. We will begin to discuss the *Lüders* map in general terms and specialize to particular groups only later.

When considering *Lüders'* map generated by coherent states of an arbitrary (simple and simple connected) Lie group G , a first general observation is that

- the P - and the Q -symbol of a *Lüders* operator coincide for the CS-POVM associated with a Lie group G .

Second, a simple form of this constraint is derived by expanding the symbol of the operator in terms of harmonic functions associated with the group G . The resulting condition on the expansion coefficients will be shown to imply that

- for the CS-POVM of a *spin* only the identity operator is *Lüders*;
- for the CS-POVM of a *particle* a countable family of linearly independent, unbounded *Lüders* operators exists none of which commutes with the elements of the POVM.

Thus, for both the groups $SU(2)$ and H_3 , the identity is found to be the only *bounded Lüders* operator which commutes with the elements of the corresponding CS-POVM: consequently, *Lüders'* theorem also applies to these CS-POVMs.

Finally, it will be shown that the *Lüders* map implements anti-normal ordering for operators which can be written as power series of particle annihilation and creation operators.

2. *Lüders* theorem for POVMs of coherent-states

Coherent States on Lie Groups and Harmonic Functions

Given any finite-dimensional (simple and simply connected) Lie group G , there is a canonical way to introduce coherent states $|\Omega\rangle$ labelled by the points Ω of a well-defined manifold $\mathbb{X}$. To do so, consider a unitary irreducible representation $T(g)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of the elements $g \in G$. Following closely the presentation given in [4], we choose a reference (or fiducial) state $|\psi_0\rangle$ and define the set of coherent states by

$$|\psi_g\rangle = T(g)|\psi_0\rangle, \quad g \in G. \quad (10)$$

Up to a phase, the reference state is left invariant by the elements h of the isotropy subgroup $H \subset G$,

$$T(h)|\psi_0\rangle = e^{i\phi(h)}|\psi_0\rangle, \quad h \in H \subset G. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, each group element can be written as as product

$$g = \Omega h, \quad \Omega \in \mathbb{X} = G/H, \quad h \in H, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbb{X}$ is the coset space obtained from dividing G by its subgroup H . As the phase of a state has no physical relevance, the set of coherent states is in a one-to-one correspondence with the points $\Omega(g)$ of the manifold $\mathbb{X}$. This suggests to denote coherent states by $|\Omega\rangle \equiv |\psi_\Omega\rangle$.

A fundamental property of the coherent states $|\Omega\rangle$ is their completeness in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| = I, \quad (13)$$

where integration is over the coset space $\mathbb{X}$ with invariant measure $d\mu(\Omega)$, and I is the identity in $\mathcal{H}$.

Coherent states $|\Omega\rangle$ can be used to define symbolic representations of operators, *i.e.* c -number valued functions on the manifold $\mathbb{X}$ which can be understood as the phase space of a classical system associated with the Lie group G [5]. The Q -symbol of an operator B acting in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is given by its expectation value in coherent states,

$$Q_B(\Omega) = \langle \Omega | B | \Omega \rangle, \quad \Omega \in \mathbb{X}; \quad (14)$$

due to analyticity properties of $Q_B(\Omega)$, these ‘diagonal’ matrix elements are sufficient to uniquely determine the operator B . The P -symbol of B [6, 7] arises if one expresses B as a linear combination of projection operators $|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|$:

$$B = \int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) P_B(\Omega) |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|. \quad (15)$$

The existence of the symbols $Q_B(\Omega)$ and $P_B(\Omega)$ depends in a subtle way on the properties of the operator B [5] but they are unique whenever they exist. Furthermore, one can think of the symbols $Q_A(\Omega)$ and $P_A(\Omega)$ as being dual to each other (cf. [5]), and, at least for particle coherent-states, they are related to normal and anti-normal ordering of creation and annihilation operators [5, 8].

It is useful to introduce the harmonic functions $Y_\nu(\Omega)$ associated with the manifold $\mathbb{X}$ and, hence, with the group G . Consider the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \mu)$ of square integrable functions $u(\Omega)$ on the manifold $\mathbb{X}$, with integration measure $d\mu(\Omega)$. The eigenfunctions $Y_\nu(\Omega)$ of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{X}$ [9] constitute a complete orthonormal set of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \mu)$ since they satisfy

$$\sum_{\nu} Y_{\nu}^*(\Omega) Y_{\nu}(\Omega') = \delta(\Omega - \Omega'), \quad (16)$$

the right-hand-side being a delta function with respect to the measure $\mu(\Omega)$, as well as

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) Y_{\nu}^*(\Omega) Y_{\nu'}(\Omega) = \delta_{\nu\nu'}. \quad (17)$$

Depending on the manifold $\mathbb{X}$ being compact or not, the right-hand-side of (17) must be understood as a Kronecker-delta or a Dirac-delta function (or suitable combinations thereof). There is a simple expression for the (modulus of) the overlap of two coherent states in terms of harmonic functions:

$$|\langle \Omega' | \Omega \rangle|^2 = \sum_{\nu} \tau_{\nu} Y_{\nu}(\Omega') Y_{\nu}^*(\Omega), \quad \tau_{\nu} \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (18)$$

where the numbers or functions τ_{ν} depend on the actual group.

Lüders map for CS-POVMs

It is straightforward to generalize the Lüders map (1) to POVMs which are continuous with respect to a positive measure μ . Let (Ω_0, Σ, μ) be a measure space, where Ω_0 is a topological space with a σ -algebra Σ of subset of Ω_0 . Assume that, for the Hilbert space

$\mathcal{H} = L_2(\Omega_0, \mu)$, there is a continuous map of the points $\omega \in \Omega_0$ to the set of positive linear operators $L(\mathcal{H})$: $\omega \mapsto E_\omega \geq 0$. If the operators E_ω provide, in addition, a resolution of unity,

$$\int_{\Omega_0} d\mu(\omega) E_\omega = I, \quad (19)$$

then the operators

$$E(\sigma) = \int_{\sigma} d\mu(\omega) E_\omega, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma. \quad (20)$$

define a continuous POVM. It is natural to associate with it a *Lüders* map $\Lambda(B)$ of an operator B by defining

$$\Lambda(B) = \int_{\Omega} d\mu(\omega) E_\omega^{1/2} B E_\omega^{1/2}, \quad (21)$$

which is a unital, completely positive linear map on $L(\mathcal{H})$. Due to the completeness relation (13), the self-adjoint coherent-state projectors

$$E_\Omega \equiv |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| = E_\Omega^{1/2}, \quad \Omega \in \mathbb{X}, \quad (22)$$

are seen to define a POVM in the sense just described.

Any operator B defined on $L_2(\mathbb{X})$ is *Lüders* with respect to the CS-POVM $E_\Omega, \Omega \in \mathbb{X}$, if it satisfies the relation $B = \Lambda(B)$ with E_ω in (21) replaced by E_Ω ,

$$B = \int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| B |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| = \int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) Q_B(\Omega) |\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega|. \quad (23)$$

Upon comparing this equation with (15), we observe that the *Lüders* property has, for any CS-POVM, the following general interpretation: an operator B is *Lüders* if and only if its P - and Q -symbols coincide,

$$P_B(\Omega) = Q_B(\Omega). \quad (24)$$

To the best of our knowledge, this set of operators—which we will call *well-ordered*—has not been introduced before.

The constraint (23) takes a particularly simple form upon expanding the Q -symbol of B in harmonic functions,

$$Q_B(\Omega) = \sum_{\nu} B_{\nu} Y_{\nu}(\Omega), \quad (25)$$

which is possible according to (16). The expansion coefficients are given by

$$B_{\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) Q_B(\Omega) Y_{\nu}^*(\Omega). \quad (26)$$

Take the expectation value of (23) in the coherent state $|\Omega'\rangle$ and use the relation (18) for the overlap $|\langle\Omega'|\Omega\rangle|^2$. This leads to

$$Q_B(\Omega') = \sum_{\nu} \tau_{\nu} \left[\int_{\mathbb{X}} d\mu(\Omega) Q_B(\Omega) Y_{\nu}^*(\Omega) \right] Y_{\nu}(\Omega') = \sum_{\nu} \tau_{\nu} B_{\nu} Y_{\nu}(\Omega'), \quad (27)$$

where (26) has been used. Uniqueness of the expansion (25) implies that the coefficients of a *Lüders* operator must satisfy the condition

$$B_\nu = \tau_\nu B_\nu, \quad \text{for all } \nu. \quad (28)$$

As mentioned above, the actual form of the quantities τ_ν depend on the group G under consideration. To proceed, we therefore need to specify the system of coherent states we work with, that is, the group G . Explicit conclusions about *Lüders* operators for CS-POVMs will be derived now for the groups $SU(2)$ and H_3 .

3. *Lüders* operators for the CS-POVM of a spin

Consider a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_s$ of dimension $(2s + 1)$, carrying an irreducible representation of the group $G = SU(2)$. Each space $\mathcal{H}_s$ is associated with a spin of length $s \in \{1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots\}$. To introduce spin-coherent states, it is convenient to select states of highest (lowest) weight $|\pm s\rangle$ as reference states (cf. [5, 10]). These states are invariant under a change of phase, hence the isotropy group is given by $H = U(1)$. Therefore, the coset space is the surface of a sphere: $\mathbb{X} = SU(2)/U(1) = \mathcal{S}^2$, which corresponds to the phase space of a classical spin.

The resolution of unity I in $\mathcal{H}_s$ using spin-coherent states $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ reads

$$I = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} d\mu(\mathbf{n}) |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}|, \quad d\mu(\mathbf{n}) = \frac{2s+1}{4\pi} \sin\vartheta d\vartheta d\phi, \quad (29)$$

where each unit vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes a point with spherical coordinates (ϑ, φ) , located on the unit sphere $\mathcal{S}^2$. The continuous family of operators

$$E_{\mathbf{n}} = |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}|, \quad \text{with} \quad I = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} d\mu(\mathbf{n}) E_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (30)$$

defines the CS-POVM of $SU(2)$. Being a projector, the positive square root of each operator $E_{\mathbf{n}}$ is equal to itself: $E_{\mathbf{n}}^{1/2} = |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}|$. Therefore, a self-adjoint operator $B \in L(\mathcal{H}_s)$ is *Lüders* with respect to the POVM (30) if

$$B = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} d\mu(\mathbf{n}) |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}| B |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}| \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} d\mu(\mathbf{n}) Q_B(\mathbf{n}) |\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}|. \quad (31)$$

Following the strategy outlined earlier, we will show now that any operator B satisfying (31) must be a real multiple of unity: $B = \lambda I$, so that B commutes with all elements of the CS-POVM for a spin,

$$[B, E_{\mathbf{n}}] = 0, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{S}^2. \quad (32)$$

Consider the expectation value of Eq. (31) in the coherent state $|\mathbf{n}'\rangle$,

$$Q_B(\mathbf{n}') = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} d\mu(\mathbf{n}) Q_B(\mathbf{n}) |\langle\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{n}'\rangle|^2. \quad (33)$$

The function $Q_B(\mathbf{n})$, the Q-symbol of the operator B , is smooth on the sphere $\mathcal{S}^2$, and it can be written as a linear combination of $(2s+1)^2$ spherical harmonics $Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n})$,

$$Q_B(\mathbf{n}) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2s+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \sum_{m=-l}^l B_{lm} Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n}), \quad (34)$$

with expansion coefficients

$$B_{lm} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2s+1}} \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} d\mu(\mathbf{n}) Q_B(\mathbf{n}) Y_{lm}^*(\mathbf{n}). \quad (35)$$

Note that these expressions are connected to the general formulas through identifying $Y_\nu(\Omega) \leftrightarrow \sqrt{4\pi/(2s+1)} Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n})$. Rewrite the scalar product (33) by means of the addition theorem for spherical harmonics,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{n} | \mathbf{n}' \rangle|^2 &= \left(\frac{1 + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}'}{2} \right)^{2s} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \frac{2l+1}{2s+1} \left\langle \begin{matrix} s & l \\ s & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle^2 P_l(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}') \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{2s+1} \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left\langle \begin{matrix} s & l \\ s & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle^2 Y_{lm}^*(\mathbf{n}) Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n}'), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where the functions $P_l(x)$ are the Legendre polynomials. Upon inserting (34) and (36), integration of the right-hand-side of Eq. (33) gives (after replacing $\mathbf{n}'$ by $\mathbf{n}$)

$$Q_B(\mathbf{n}) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2s+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left\langle \begin{matrix} s & l \\ s & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle^2 B_{lm} Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n}). \quad (37)$$

This expansion and Eq. (34) can only hold simultaneously if the coefficients of the harmonics satisfy

$$B_{lm} = \left\langle \begin{matrix} s & l \\ s & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle^2 B_{lm}, \quad (38)$$

which is (28) for the group $SU(2)$. The m -independent Clebsch-Gordan coefficients correspond to the numbers τ_ν introduced in (18), and they take values

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} s & l \\ s & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle^2 = \frac{(2s)!(2s+1)!}{(2s-l)!(2s+1+l)!}. \quad (39)$$

Since

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} s & 0 \\ s & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle = 1, \quad 0 < \left\langle \begin{matrix} s & l \\ s & 0 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle < 1, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, 2s, \quad (40)$$

the coefficients B_{lm} with $l \neq 0$ in (38) must vanish; thus, the expansion (34) of a *Lüders* operator satisfying (31) contains only one nonzero term, B_{00} , and B is proportional to $Y_{00}(\mathbf{n})$, *i.e.*, the identity. Hence, it commutes with any operator, including the set $E_{\mathbf{n}}$, so that Eq. (32) follows. At the same time we have shown that the identity is the only operator in $\mathcal{H}_s$ such that its Q - and P -symbol coincide.

4. *Lüders* operators for the CS-POVM of a particle

The kinematics of a quantum particle on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ is described by the creation and annihilation operators a and its adjoint $a^\dagger$ which satisfy $[a, a^\dagger] = I$. The operators a , $a^\dagger$, and the identity I generate the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra h_3 ; finite transformations, that is, elements of the group H_3 , are given by the phase-space displacement or shift operators

$$D(\alpha) = \exp[\alpha a^\dagger - \alpha^* a], \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (41)$$

In fact, they provide an irreducible projective representation of the group H_3 in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$D(\alpha)D(\alpha') = \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}(\alpha\alpha'^* - \alpha^*\alpha')I\right]D(\alpha + \alpha'). \quad (42)$$

The (overcomplete) family of coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$ in the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ is obtained by displacing the fiducial state $|0\rangle$, say, with $a|0\rangle = 0$, by arbitrary amounts $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$|\alpha\rangle = D(\alpha)|0\rangle. \quad (43)$$

The isotropy subgroup of H_3 is again isomorphic to $U(1) \sim \exp[i\gamma I]$, $\gamma \in [0, 2\pi)$, so that the manifold labeling coherent states is given by the complex plane $\mathbb{X} = H_3/U(1) = \mathbb{C}$, corresponding indeed to the phase space of a classical particle on the real line.

The completeness relation for the particle-coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$ reads

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|, \quad d\mu(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} d^2\alpha, \quad (44)$$

and it can be understood as defining a POVM for the continuous family of projection operators

$$E_\alpha = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = E_\alpha^{1/2}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (45)$$

The operator B on $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ is *Lüders* with respect to the POVM E_α , $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, if it is invariant under the *Lüders* map $B \mapsto \Lambda(B)$, i.e.,

$$B = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| B |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) Q_B(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|, \quad (46)$$

where $\langle\alpha|B|\alpha\rangle = Q_B(\alpha)$ is the Q -symbol of the operator B . As shown, this relation forces the Q -symbol of a *Lüders* operator to coincide with its P -symbol,

$$B = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) P(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|, \quad (47)$$

if it exists.

We will now search for *bounded Lüders* operators B which commute the members E_α of the CS-POVM (44) for a particle. We begin to look at simple examples of *Lüders* operators, followed by a systematic construction of all well-ordered *Lüders* operators. In addition to the identity, a countable family of *unbounded*, linearly independent *Lüders* operators will emerge none of which commutes with the elements of the CS-POVM. Finally, an unexpected relation of the *Lüders* map to operator orderings is established for particle coherent states.

Examples of unbounded *Lüders* operators

It is straightforward to apply the map Λ to unbounded operators such as position $Q = (a + a^\dagger)/2$ and momentum $P = (a - a^\dagger)/2i$. Using the equation $a|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$ and its adjoint implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(Q) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| Q |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^*) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) a |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| a^\dagger = Q,\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

and similarly

$$\Lambda(P) = P. \quad (49)$$

While being invariant under Λ , the operators Q and P are neither positive nor bounded, and they do not commute with the projectors E_α since the expectation value of the commutator in the coherent state $|\beta\rangle$ is, in general, different from zero:

$$\langle\beta|[Q, E_\alpha]|\beta\rangle = \frac{1}{2}((\alpha - \alpha^*) - (\beta - \beta^*)) |\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle|^2. \quad (50)$$

Using the relation $D^\dagger(\alpha)aD(\alpha) = a - \alpha$, its adjoint, and the commutation relations of a and $a^\dagger$, one shows that *Lüders'* map acts on the operators Q^2 and P^2 according to

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(Q^2) &= Q^2 + 2\langle 0|Q^2|0\rangle I = Q^2 + \frac{1}{2}I, \\ \Lambda(P^2) &= P^2 + 2\langle 0|P^2|0\rangle I = P^2 + \frac{1}{2}I.\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

Consequently, appropriate quadratic combinations of position and momentum turn out to be *Lüders*,

$$\Lambda_\Gamma(Q^2 - P^2) = Q^2 - P^2. \quad (52)$$

However, this indefinite, unbounded operator does not commute with all projections E_α as follows from $\langle 0|[Q^2 - P^2, E_\alpha]|0\rangle = (\alpha^2 - \alpha^{*2}) |\langle 0|\alpha\rangle|^2$, for example. In the next section a family of similar *Lüders* operators will be constructed.

Construction of *Lüders* operators

Let us turn now to the problem of finding all operators which are *Lüders* with respect to the CS-POVM E_α of a particle. i.e. all well-ordered operators. The argument will resemble the one given in the case of a spin.

Expand the Q -symbol of an operator B as

$$Q_B(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\xi) B_\xi \exp[\alpha\xi^* - \alpha^*\xi] \quad (53)$$

where the coefficients B_ξ are given by

$$B_\xi = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) Q_B(\alpha) \exp[-(\alpha\xi^* - \alpha^*\xi)]. \quad (54)$$

Here, the functions $\exp [\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi]$ are the complete orthonormal set of harmonic functions in the complex plane, corresponding to $Y_\nu(\Omega)$. Since the Q -symbol of a hermitean operator is real, $Q_B(\alpha) = \langle \alpha | B | \alpha \rangle^* = Q_B^*(\alpha)$, the coefficients must satisfy the relation

$$\begin{aligned} B_\xi^* &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) Q_B^*(\alpha) \exp [-(\alpha^* \xi - \alpha \xi^*)] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) Q_B(\alpha) \exp [-(\alpha(-\xi)^* - \alpha^*(-\xi))] = B_{-\xi}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

We will turn (46) into a condition for the expansion coefficients B_ξ of a *Lüders* operator which can be solved explicitly. Take the expectation value of the operator B in (46) in the coherent state $|\beta\rangle$, and use the identity

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle|^2 &= \exp [-|\alpha - \beta|^2] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\xi) e^{-\xi \xi^*} \exp [\beta \xi^* - \beta^* \xi] \exp [-\alpha \xi^* + \alpha^* \xi], \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} Q_B(\beta) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\xi) e^{-\xi \xi^*} \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) Q_B(\alpha) \exp [-(\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi)] \right] \exp [\beta \xi^* - \beta^* \xi], \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\xi) e^{-\xi \xi^*} B_\xi \exp [\beta \xi^* - \beta^* \xi], \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where (54) has been used. Due to the uniqueness of the expansion (53), the expansion coefficients of any *Lüders* operators must satisfy

$$B_\xi = e^{-\xi \xi^*} B_\xi, \quad (58)$$

which is the equivalent of (38) for continuous variables. Consequently, the coefficients B_ξ are necessarily zero for all values of ξ except $\xi = 0$, and there are no solutions in terms of ordinary functions. If allowing for generalized functions, B_ξ is necessarily a distribution of finite order [12], that is, a linear combination of a δ -distribution and finite derivatives of it,

$$B_\xi = \sum_{n+m=0}^N b_{nm} \partial_\xi^n \partial_{\xi^*}^m \delta(\xi), \quad b_{nm} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad N = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (59)$$

The function B_ξ must satisfy (55) leading to

$$b_{nm} = (-)^{m+n} b_{mn}^*, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (60)$$

and the $\delta(\xi)$ -function is real,

$$\delta(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) \exp [\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi] = \delta(-\xi) = \delta^*(\xi). \quad (61)$$

Only some of the distributions (59) will satisfy (58) since one must have

$$Q_B(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\xi) [D_N \delta(\xi)] e^{-\xi \xi^*} e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\xi) [D_N \delta(\xi)] e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi}, \quad (62)$$

where

$$D_N = \sum_{n+m=0}^N b_{nm} \partial_{\xi}^n \partial_{\xi^*}^m. \quad (63)$$

Partial integrations in (62) lead to the requirement

$$\left[D_N^{\dagger} e^{-\xi \xi^*} e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi} \right]_{\xi=\xi^*=0} = \left[D_N^{\dagger} e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi} \right]_{\xi=\xi^*=0}, \quad (64)$$

where the adjoint $D_N^{\dagger}$ of D_N is obtained from replacing b_{nm} by $(-)^{n+m} b_{nm}$ in (63). It is shown in the Appendix that this condition is satisfied if and only if

$$b_{nm} = 0, \quad 1 \leq m, n \leq N, \quad (65)$$

i.e., only terms with at least one index (that is, m or n or both) equal to zero will contribute to the symbol of a well-ordered operator. Therefore, only coefficients of the form

$$B_{\xi} = \sum_{n=0}^N (b_{n0} \partial_{\xi}^n + (-)^n b_{n0}^* \partial_{\xi^*}^n) \delta(\xi) \quad (66)$$

which, upon partial integration in (53), give rise to Q -symbols of *Lüders* operators,

$$Q_B(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^N (b_{n0} \alpha^{*n} + b_{n0}^* \alpha^n). \quad (67)$$

The operators corresponding to these symbols are given by

$$B = b_0 I + \sum_{n=1}^N (b_n^q B_n^q + b_n^p B_n^p), \quad (68)$$

a linear combination of the identity and $2N$ hermitean operators

$$B_n^q = \frac{1}{2} (a^n + a^{\dagger n}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n^p = \frac{1}{2i} (a^n - a^{\dagger n}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (69)$$

which satisfy (46), and $(2N + 1)$ real coefficients

$$b_0 = 2b_{00}, \quad b_n^q = b_{n0} + b_{n0}^*, \quad b_n^p = \frac{1}{i} (b_{n0} - b_{n0}^*), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (70)$$

For $N = 2$, for example, it follows that not only the operators Q, P , and $Q^2 - P^2$ are *Lüders* but also

$$B_2^p = \frac{1}{2i} (a^2 - a^{\dagger 2}) \propto QP + PQ. \quad (71)$$

Every bounded *Lüders* operator is necessarily a multiple of the identity.

Lüders map and operator ordering

It is easy to understand why the operators $B_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, N$, in (70) are *Lüders*. Consider any hermitean operator B given as a finite polynomial in a and $a^\dagger$. Using their commutation relation, one can bring the annihilation operators either to the right or to the left,

$$B(a, a^\dagger) = \sum_{m,n} \beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{N}} a^{\dagger m} a^n = \sum_{m,n} \beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{A}} a^m a^{\dagger n}, \quad (72)$$

corresponding to normal- and anti-normal ordering of B , respectively [11]. It is straightforward to calculate the *Lüders* transform of B if it is written in normal order:

$$\Lambda(B(a, a^\dagger)) = \sum_{m,n} \beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{N}} \Lambda(a^{\dagger m} a^n) = \sum_{m,n} \beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{N}} a^n a^{\dagger m}, \quad (73)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(a^{\dagger m} a^n) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| a^{\dagger m} a^n |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) \alpha^n |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| \alpha^{*m} \\ &= a^n \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} d\mu(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| \right) a^{\dagger m} = a^n a^{\dagger m}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Thus, the effect of Λ is to push each creation operator $a^\dagger$ to the right as if it would commute with the annihilation operator a . In other words, the map Λ provides an explicit form of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ which generates anti-normal order of an operator [8]. This operator and its twin $\mathcal{N}$, which brings a given operator into normal order, are useful tools to evaluate expectation values or Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff relations, for example [8].

To conclude: an operator B is to be invariant under Λ , the normally and anti-normally ordered forms of an operator B must coincide,

$$\sum_{m,n} \beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{N}} a^n a^{\dagger m} = \sum_{m,n} \beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{A}} a^m a^{\dagger n}, \quad (75)$$

that is, $\beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{N}} = \beta_{nm}^{\mathcal{A}}$. This is obviously true for the linear combinations of powers of a and $a^\dagger$ given in (70), defining the family of well-ordered operators.

5. Discussion

We have shown that there is only one *Lüders* operator, the identity, for the CS-POVM of $SU(2)$ while a family of $(2N + 1)$ linearly independent, unbounded, and well-ordered operators exists in the case of H_3 . It is plausible that our study exhausts all possibilities which may arise for CS-POVMs of (simple and simply connected) Lie groups: we expect only the identity as a *Lüders* operator for *compact* Lie groups such as $SU(N)$, and a countable family for a CS-POVM associated with non-compact groups such as $SU(N - n, n), 1 \leq n < N$. If we restrict our attention to bounded operators, we expect *Lüders'* theorem to hold for the CS-POVM of any Lie group G .

Appendix

We will show here that any operator compatible with (46) must have a Q -symbol with expansion coefficients given by

$$B_\xi = \sum_{n=0}^N (b_{n0} \partial_\xi^n + (-)^n b_{n0}^* \partial_{\xi^*}^n) \delta(\xi); \quad (76)$$

this means, in particular, that most of the coefficients b_{nm} are equal to zero:

$$b_{nm} = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m, n \leq N. \quad (77)$$

In a first step, evaluate the right-hand-side of (64):

$$\left[\sum_{n+m=0}^N (-)^{n+m} b_{nm} \partial_\xi^n \partial_{\xi^*}^m e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi} \right]_{\xi=0} = \sum_{n+m=0}^N (-)^m b_{nm} \alpha^m \alpha^{*n}. \quad (78)$$

To evaluate the left-hand side, use the relation

$$\partial_\xi (e^{-\xi \xi^*} f(\xi)) = e^{-\xi \xi^*} (-\xi^* + \partial_\xi) f(\xi) \quad (79)$$

and its complex conjugate for any smooth function f . This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi^n \partial_{\xi^*}^m e^{-\xi \xi^*} &= e^{-\xi \xi^*} (-\xi^* + \partial_\xi)^n (-\xi + \partial_{\xi^*})^m \\ &= e^{-\xi \xi^*} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{n}{\nu} \binom{m}{\mu} (-\xi^*)^{n-\nu} \partial_\xi^\nu (-\xi)^\mu \partial_{\xi^*}^{m-\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

According to Eq. (64), these operators must be applied to the function $e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi}$. Each derivative ∂_{ξ^*} produces a factor α , while the action of the derivatives ∂_ξ is more complicated:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi^\nu ((-\xi)^\mu e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi}) &= \sum_{s=0}^\nu \binom{\nu}{s} \frac{\partial (-\xi)^\mu}{\partial \xi^s} \frac{\partial^{\nu-s} e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi}}{\partial \xi^{\nu-s}} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^\nu \binom{\nu}{s} \frac{\mu! (-)^s}{(\mu-s)!} (-\xi)^{\mu-s} (-\alpha^*)^{\nu-s} e^{\alpha \xi^* - \alpha^* \xi}; \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

due to $1/\Gamma(-k) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there are no contributions to the sum if s exceeds μ . Now that the derivatives have been evaluated, one can set $\xi = \xi^* = 0$ in the resulting expression: the terms with non-zero powers of ξ or ξ^* vanish, so the sums simplify according to

$$(-\xi)^{\mu-s} \rightarrow \delta_{\mu s} \quad \text{and} \quad (-\xi^*)^{n-\nu} \rightarrow \delta_{n\nu}. \quad (82)$$

The left-hand-side of (64) becomes

$$\sum_{n+m=0}^N (-)^m b_{nm} \sum_{s=0}^{s_0} s! \binom{m}{s} \binom{n}{s} \alpha^{m-s} \alpha^{*n-s}, \quad (83)$$

where $s_0 = \min(m, n)$. Note that the term with $s = 0$ in this expression is identical to the right-hand-side of (78) which implies that the equality (62) is satisfied if

$$\sum_{n+m=0}^N (-)^m b_{nm} \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} s! \binom{m}{s} \binom{n}{s} \alpha^{m-s} \alpha^{*n-s} = 0 \quad (84)$$

holds for all complex numbers α . This equation does not restrict the coefficients $b_{n0}, 0 \leq n \leq N$ and $b_{0m}, 0 \leq m \leq N$: if either m or n are equal to zero, the sum over s is empty since $s_0 = 0$. However, *all* other coefficients must vanish as can be seen in the following way. Writing $\alpha = r \exp[i\varphi]$, Eq. (84) turns into a sum of terms multiplying phase factors $\exp[i(m-n)\varphi] \equiv \exp[ik\varphi]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. Each of these terms must vanish individually due to the linear independence of the exponentials. Their coefficients, in turn, are power series in r which can be shown to vanish identically only if $b_{1N} = 0$ for $\exp[i(N-1)\varphi]$, $b_{2N} = 0 \Rightarrow b_{1N-2} = 0$ for $\exp[i(N-2)\varphi]$, etc. Taking into account that $b_{nm} = (-)^{m+n} b_{nm}^*$, the coefficients B_ξ of Lüders operators finally read

$$B_\xi = \left(\sum_{n=0}^N b_{n0} \partial_\xi^n + \sum_{m=0}^N b_{0m} \partial_{\xi^*}^m \right) \delta(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^N (b_{n0} \partial_\xi^n + (-)^n b_{n0}^* \partial_{\xi^*}^n) \delta(\xi). \quad (85)$$

References

- [1] G. Lüders : Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) **8** (1951) 322
- [2] P. Busch and J. Singh: Phys. Lett. A **249** (1998) 10-12.
- [3] S. Gudder, A. Gheondea, and A. Arias: J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 5872
- [4] C. Brif and A. Mann: Phys. Rev. A **59** (1999) 971
- [5] A. Perelomov: *Generalized Coherent States and Their Application*. Berlin 1986.
- [6] R.J. Glauber: Phys. Rev. **130** (1963) 2529; **131** 2766.
- [7] E.C.G. Sudarshan: Phys. Rev. Lett. **10** (1963) 277
- [8] R.M. Wilcox: J. Math. Phys. **8** (1967) 962
- [9] A.O. Barut and R. Raczka: *Theory of Group Representations and Applications*. Warszawa ²1980.
- [10] F.T. Arecchi, E. Courtens, R. Gilmore, and H. Thomas: Phys. Rev. A **6** (1972) 2211
- [11] F.A. Berezin: Sov. Phys. Usp. **132** (1980) 497
- [12] J.H. Bremermann: *Distributions, Complex Variables, and Fourier Transforms*. Reading, MA 1965